February 6, 1964

TO: Mr. H. J. Heins II

PROM: Curtis J. Houter

SUBJECT: The editorial "The Bilderbergers" in the Richmond (Va.) News

This past week we have been conducting a cautious, deliberate inquiry into the reasons for the appearance of the abovementioned editorial. This effort was carried out without any
identification whatever for the reasons of the examination.

Fortunately, because of previous standings with the editorial
board of the Richmond News Leader it was possible to complete the
analysis without in any way giving the impression that any member
of the Bilderberg organization or participation group was concerned
or worried or that in showing interest we were acting in behalf of
anybody.

Some basic points about the News Leader should be noted:

The Richmond Newspapers, Inc., headed by D. Tennant Bryan,
own both the Richmond Times Dispatch, the morning and sunday paper,
and the Richmond Erws Leader, the evening counterpart. The editor
of the editorial page of the Times Dispatch is Virginius Dabnay,
probably one of the most widely-hersided commentators, highly
respected and recognised through numerous Pulitzer primes, and held
in real estern among the more responsible Mashington officials at
all levels. His counterpart on the News Leader is James J. Kilpetrick,
not as well known as Mr. Dabney, but in intellectual distinction and
appreciation must be listed rather high. I had an intensive talk with

Mr. Kilpatrick and found that he knewwary little about the reasons for the editorial in question being written, but, curiously, as we talked on the long-distance telephone, he was able to within seconds have the October 28th comment on hand and even discussed various aspects of it with me. He pointedly stated that the editorial was conceived and written by James Lucier, the News Leader's editorial writer, who specialized on international matters. Kilpatrick, however, backed up Lucier 100 per cent in the presentation of the issue in that fashion. It should be noted that the Richmond News Leader is not regarded as a right-wing or sati-internationalist publication. Published in Virginia, it does reflect the customery international flair which has been known to exist among the American cotton and tobacco industry which produced, as you will recall, the Reciprocal Trade Agreements concept, the GATT and Cordell Hull. Nobody can recall that the political representatives from Virginia in the House of Representatives or the Senate showed even limited isolationist tendencies. While the two Senators, Harry S. Byrd and A. Willis Robertson, are conservative in economic and financial policy, they are internationalist in foreign policy matters. The State's nine Congressmen are leaning toward the conservative side on domestic matters, particularly the chairman of the House Rules Committee, Noward W. Smith, and his Democratic colleagues, of which Porter Mardy, Jr. is the other well-known and influential member. Howard W. Smith, it must be noted, represents Richmond and since he is an advocate of no civil rights action, he could be the originator Leader. At least, it could be argued - and I gained the impression after talking openly with Mr. Kilpatrick - that this may have been the entry point. J. Vaughan Gary, also a Democrat, comes from Richmond and represents the other parts of the city. Mone of the House and Senator members from Vinginia, it must be noted, are either members of the House Foreign Affairs or the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. This, in turn, could form a conclusion that foreign affairs are not Virginia's foremost concern, although their ports have shown widest interest in the European Gommon Market and even the chicken issue has stirred up the delegation from time to time.

The Congressman representing the area where Williamsburg is located is Thomas N. Downing, who is rather new to the Washington scene, having initiated his first time in 1958.

The fact that Kilpatrick was aware of the editorial in question so quickly, led me to believe that the issue was this past week very much on his mind.

Having been told that Mr. Lucier had initiated the commentary, I then had a one-hour telephone conversation with him and found him generally an open-minded, if not confused individual; confused, because his information about Bilderberg was evidently third-hand. At the same time, he appeared disturbed and concerned that such an institution as Bilderberg existed, as he put it, "because it defeats the basic premise of democracy." In a manner

identified with the News Leader previously, Mr. Lucier pointedly criticized that the Bilderberg conferences by being secret were (a) giving personal gains to the business participants; (b) going around the consent-and-advice role of Congress; and (c) bringing into the meeting individuals from the United States that were not representative of this country, "with their overly emphasis on the "New York Internationlists." It seemed that Mr. Lucier was especially concerned with the Bilderberg issue "after having been exposed to the silly biography on Prince Bernhard." The key phases from the book quoted back to me by Mr. Lucier were these:

- -- "That meeting and the subsequent ones that stemmed from it, which have had a great, if indefinable impact on the history of our times....
- -- "When asked for an example of a Bilderberg accomplishment, George McGhee said: 'I believe you could say the Treaty of Tome, which brought the Common Market into being, was nurtured at these weatings and sided by the main stress of our discussions here."
- -- "The formation of an international corporation to finance industrial development in the Near Hast is another concrete result."
- "The present American Government is even closer to Bilderberg, because President Kennedy has virtually staffed the State Department with what C. D. Jackson calls 'Bilberg slummi."

Any innocent bystander, not really familiar with the purpose and objective of the Bilderberg session, must sympathize with Mr. Lucier's reactions after he read the book and had been exposed to the charges in the Congress, las he put it, by Senators Goldwater, Thurmond, and others. That may be the reason, too, why the editorial pointedly stated "It is a silly and presentious book about a silly and pretentious man."

One of the points of my exchange with Lucier was his reference to the role of Mr. Marcus Wallenberg, "indicative," as he put it, "of the obvious personal gains the international business operator gets out of such Bilderberg meetings." Lucier knew that Wallenberg had been a Bilderberg regular and, as he recalled it, just this week had received a news release from the Liberian Embassy in Washington in which the new Liberian Ore project of the Wallenberg interests was highlighted, together with the point that the Swedish banker had actually gotten American participants into the picture, too.

Mr. Lucier reflected, generally speaking, a distinct concern about the role in Washington and free world policy making of the
"New York-Restern Seaboard Internationalists." While he never used the
word "conspiracy" and even tried to state that this was not the purpose
of his aditorial comment, he implied that those Americans active in
the Bilderberg sessions "are all of one and the same connotation,
overly world-wide minded and not enough reflecting the American
national viewpoint."

That the issue is not dead for Mr. Lucier could be learned from his revelation that he was seriously thinking of putting a paughlet together on "the deager that such informal, non-governmental, non-democratically responsive sessions" imply. This fact led me to conclude that there are some people behind him pushing this issue, particularly with an internationally-minded paper so as to cover up their work.

It must be realised that the editorial in the Richomond News Leader will somehow get to certain members of Congress who, likely when the weekend of March 20th comes around will sound off on the issue. It is also vital to understand that there is no counter-statement possible to correct Mr. Lucier's mis-conception because of the sentiments that have obviously been conveyed to him by certain sources. Unhappily, the book on Prince Bernhard by Alden Hatch provides delicate documentation of boestfulness that American public opinion at this state of over-concern on domestic matters can quite absorb.

Finally, Lucier appears to us a most independent individual, who, like so many editorial writers, cherishes his freedom of expression and wants to be played up because of this fact. He can, however, be considered to be open-minded if he is approached directly by high-level, experienced and identifiable Bilderberg personnel. These must not be the prototype of the internationalist that he seems too much concerned about or the expertese type who will merely give the impression that there is desire to cover up and neutralize. My impression is like this: If a direct confrontation with Lucier

at his office in Richmond can be made, he may well come around to understand the purpose of the Bilderberg sessions which he does not at present. It must be realized, too, that there may be other editorial writers of the generally responsible type that have been approached by unknown outsiders who may well, once it becomes known that there will be a Bilderberg conference in the United States, react sharply and critical too. Lucier, it means, is not affected by pressure from his top management because he has, as I readily realized, the fullest support of his top editor. Kilpatrick actually appeared to indicate that he was rather proud of his name to have such "ingenious ideas as in the October 28th issue."

In conclusion, since in the case of the Richmond News
Leader we do not see a Fegler or David Lawrence or a Chicago
Tribune situation, fullest disclosure, without revealing anything
meaningful or delicate, is obviously very much warranted at the
moment. I consider, after fully absorbing all the reaction and
points made to me by Mr. Lucier, that this is not a hopeless
situation, but rather reflects a European approach to the American
situation which Lucier, himself, termed naive. But since the
atmosphere in Washington tends to turn sensitive in this connection,
antidotes must be found and used.